

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO. 10/685,971 10/15/2003 42280.2400 7935 Andrea Koerselman **EXAMINER** 20322 7590 01/18/2006 **SNELL & WILMER** BLAKE, CAROLYN T ONE ARIZONA CENTER ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER **400 EAST VAN BUREN** PHOENIX, AZ 850040001 3724

DATE MAILED: 01/18/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

_
ν
\sim

Advisory Action

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/685,971	KOERSELMAN ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	
Carolyn T. Blake	3724	

Advisory Action	10/685,971	KOERSELMAN ET AL.	
Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Carolyn T. Blake	3724	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address	
THE REPLY FILED <u>27 December 2005</u> FAILS TO PLACE THI			
 The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or o this application, applicant must timely file one of the followalces the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Note (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in comp following time periods: a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing of the period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advevent, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later the 	n the same day as filing a Notice of wing replies: (1) an amendment, a potice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in liance with 37 CFR 1.114. The replace of the final rejection.	f Appeal. To avoid abandonment or ffidavit, or other evidence, which compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or y must be filed within one of the e final rejection, whichever is later. In no	-
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b) MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f	ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FI)
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension a CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened stabove, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three month earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL	which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a and the corresponding amount of the fee. atutory period for reply originally set in the s after the mailing date of the final rejection	The appropriate extension fee under 37 final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (bon, even if timely filed, may reduce any	
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in com of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any e Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be AMENDMENTS 	xtension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal.	е
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, (a) They raise new issues that would require further co (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo (c) They are not deemed to place the application in be	nsideration and/or search (see NO ow);	TE below);	ρΓ
appeal; and/or (d) They present additional claims without canceling a NOTE: See Continuation Sheet. (See 37 CFR 1.1		jected claims.	
 4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1. 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s) 	I21. See attached Notice of Non-C):		
 6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a the non-allowable claim(s). 7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) 			
how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro	vided below or appended.		•
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Ey/	
Claim(s) allowed:			
Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected:	Aila	an N. Shoap	
Claim(s) rejected: Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:		ry Patent Examiner	
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE		roup 3700	
8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, b because applicant failed to provide a showing of good ar and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).	d sufficient reasons why the affida	vit or other evidence is necessary	İ
The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary.	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appery and was not earlier presented.	al and/or appellant fails to provide See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1).	а
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER			
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered by See Continuation Sheet.	,		
12. ☐ Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).13. ☐ Other:	(P10/55/08 of P10-1449) Paper	IVO(\$)	

Continuation Sheet (PTOL-303)

Application No.

Continuation of 3. NOTE: The amendment to claim 1 cancels the limitation of a hinge while adding a limitation that the food holder is both slidable and rotatable during use. As such, the claims require additional consideration and/or search.

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Regarding the argument the Borner and Pete references do not anticipate the invention as claimed, the examiner disagrees. The Petit device is certainly capable of being both rotated and slid, as it appears there is no structure that would prohibit this for occurring. Furthermore, amended claim 1 no longer requirga hinge. If an operator rotated the entire Borner device including the food holder during use, such as to maintain an optimal cutting angle, the reference would anticipate the invention as claimed. While there are differences between Applicant's device and the prior art of record, these differences have not been claimed.

93 1/12/2006